

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,184	05/15/2002	Wolfgang Ehrfeld	FMW-QQ-PCT-US	6181
28862	7590 02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE, CO., L.P.A. 2020 FRONT STREET			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
SUITE 307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CUYAHOGA	FALLS, OH 44221		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Comment	10/009,184	EHRFELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allan Kuhns	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (I.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	December 2005.	·			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		•			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 25-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest S) Claim(s) 1-11 and 25-33 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific process of the specific process.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	 □				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/009,184

Art Unit: 1732

1.After further review and reconsideration, the finality of the Office action mailed June 28, 2005 is hereby withdrawn in order to introduce the following new ground of rejection.

Page 2

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durr (6,446,270). Durr discloses the basic claimed procedure for the replicative fabrication and packaging of at least one molded part as one magazine or frame or liner/molded part composite including (1) fabricating at least one molded part using a prefabricated magazine or frame or liner (118), wherein the prefabricated magazine or frame or liner carries the at least one molded part by holding contact of at least parts of the side surfaces of the molded part (i.e., the sides of the helmet), (2) simultaneously demolding the magazine or frame or liner and the at least one molded part composite (column 7, lines 28 and 29), (3) removing the at least one molded part from the prefabricated magazine or frame or liner (column 7, lines 29-31), and (4) reusing the prefabricated magazine or frame or liner to fabricate at least one additional molded part (column 3, lines 45-46 and column 7, lines 31-33). Durr appears not to state that the molded part formed possesses a microstructure, but Official Notice is taken by the examiner that molded articles tend to adapt a surface structure that reflects a texture or microstructure present in a mold cavity surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,184 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Durr teaches the use of a split mold tool having first and second mold tool halves, as in claim 13, in Figure 6.

4. Claims 1-11 and 25-33 are allowed.

5.Applicants' arguments filed December 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments in the Appeal Brief are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the revised groun of rejection introduced in this Office action.

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS
PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732
2-17-06